

# Wiener-type characterization of boundary regularity via Gaussian bounds

Giulio Tralli,  
Università di Padova

Dispersive and subelliptic PDEs  
Centro di Ricerca Matematica E. De Giorgi

February 10, 2020, Pisa

# INDEX

- Description of the class of operators
- Wiener and Wiener-Landis criteria: literature and main result
- Sketch of the proof and applications

joint work with F. Uguzzoni (Bologna),  
appeared in J. Funct. Anal. 278 (2020), article n. 108410

# INDEX

- Description of the class of operators
- Wiener and Wiener-Landis criteria: literature and main result
- Sketch of the proof and applications

joint work with F. Uguzzoni (Bologna),  
appeared in J. Funct. Anal. 278 (2020), article n. 108410

# INDEX

- Description of the class of operators
- Wiener and Wiener-Landis criteria: literature and main result
- Sketch of the proof and applications

joint work with F. Uguzzoni (Bologna),  
appeared in J. Funct. Anal. 278 (2020), article n. 108410

## INDEX

- Description of the class of operators
- Wiener and Wiener-Landis criteria: literature and main result
- Sketch of the proof and applications

joint work with F. Uguzzoni (Bologna),  
appeared in J. Funct. Anal. 278 (2020), article n. 108410

## Looking for Wiener criteria

The aim of this research is to establish Wiener-type criteria for evolution operators such as

$$\sum_{j=1}^m X_j^2 - \partial_t$$

where  $X_j$  are smooth vector fields in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  satisfying the Hörmander condition.

More generally, consider the following linear second order operator

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N q_{i,j}(z) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 + \sum_{k=1}^N q_k(z) \partial_{x_k} - \partial_t,$$

defined in the strip of  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$

$$S = \{z = (x, t) : x \in \mathbb{R}^N, T_1 < t < T_2\}, -\infty \leq T_1 < T_2 \leq +\infty.$$

## Looking for Wiener criteria

The aim of this research is to establish Wiener-type criteria for evolution operators such as

$$\sum_{j=1}^m X_j^2 - \partial_t$$

where  $X_j$  are smooth vector fields in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  satisfying the Hörmander condition.

More generally, consider the following linear second order operator

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N q_{i,j}(z) \partial_{x_i, x_j}^2 + \sum_{k=1}^N q_k(z) \partial_{x_k} - \partial_t,$$

defined in the strip of  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$

$$S = \{z = (x, t) : x \in \mathbb{R}^N, T_1 < t < T_2\}, \quad -\infty \leq T_1 < T_2 \leq +\infty.$$

## Assumptions:

- the coefficients  $q_{i,j} = q_{j,i}, q_k$  are of class  $C^\infty$ ;
- the quadratic form  $q_{\mathcal{H}}(z, \xi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N q_{i,j}(z) \xi_i \xi_j$  is nonnegative definite, i.e.  $q_{\mathcal{H}}(z, \cdot) \geq 0$  for every  $z \in S$ , and not totally degenerate, i.e.  $q_{\mathcal{H}}(z, \cdot) \not\equiv 0$  for every  $z \in S$ ;
- $\mathcal{H}$  and its adjoint  $\mathcal{H}^*$  are  $C^\infty$ -hypoelliptic;
- there exists a global *fundamental solution*  $(z, \zeta) \mapsto \Gamma(z, \zeta)$  smooth out of the diagonal of  $S \times S$  (in the sense  $\Gamma \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma(\cdot, \zeta)) = -\delta_\zeta$  for any  $\zeta$ , and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Gamma(x, t, \xi, \tau) \varphi(\xi) d\xi \rightarrow \varphi(x_0)$$

as  $x \rightarrow x_0$  and  $t \searrow \tau \in ]T_1, T_2[$  (or  $\tau \nearrow t \in ]T_1, T_2[$ ), for every  $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and for every  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .



## MAIN ASSUMPTION:

Given a metric  $d : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we call  $d$ -Gaussian (of exponent  $a > 0$ ) any function

$$\mathbb{G}_a(z, \zeta) = \mathbb{G}_a(x, t, \xi, \tau) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq \tau, \\ \frac{1}{|B_d(x, \sqrt{t-\tau})|} \exp\left(-a \frac{d^2(x, \xi)}{t-\tau}\right) & \text{if } t > \tau. \end{cases}$$

We assume the existence of a distance  $d$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that the following Gaussian estimates for  $\Gamma$  hold

$$(H) \quad \frac{1}{\Lambda} \mathbb{G}_{b_0}(z, \zeta) \leq \Gamma(z, \zeta) \leq \Lambda \mathbb{G}_{a_0}(z, \zeta), \quad \forall z, \zeta \in S,$$

for suitable positive constants  $a_0$ ,  $b_0$ , and  $\Lambda$ .

We shall make the following assumptions on the metric space  $(\mathbb{R}^N, d)$ :

(D1) The  $d$ -topology is the Euclidean topology. Moreover  $(\mathbb{R}^N, d)$  is complete and, for every fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $d(x, \xi) \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ .

(D2)  $(\mathbb{R}^N, d)$  is doubling w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure, i.e.  $\exists c_d > 1$  such that

$$|B(x, 2r)| \leq c_d |B(x, r)|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall r > 0.$$

(D3)  $(\mathbb{R}^N, d)$  has the *segment property*, i.e., for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$  there exists a continuous path  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\gamma(0) = x$ ,  $\gamma(1) = y$  and

$$d(x, y) = d(x, \gamma(t)) + d(\gamma(t), y) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

## The Hörmander case:

Our results apply in particular to degenerate parabolic operators of Hörmander type

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{i,j}(x,t)X_iX_j + \sum_{k=1}^m a_k(x,t)X_k - \partial_t$$

where  $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$  is a system of smooth vector fields satisfying the Hörmander rank condition in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $A(z) = (a_{i,j})$  is uniformly positive definite.

To see this, one should keep in mind that we want to study boundary value problems in bounded open sets and we are not effected by large-scale geometries.

Under these assumptions, it is possible to develop a Potential Analysis for  $\mathcal{H}$  [Lanconelli-Uguzzoni 2010]:

the operator  $\mathcal{H}$  endows the strip  $S$  with a structure of  $\beta$ -harmonic space satisfying the Doob convergence property.

As a consequence, for any bounded open set  $\Omega$  with  $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq S$ , the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{H}u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi \end{cases}$$

has a generalized solution  $H_{\varphi}^{\Omega}$ , in the Perron-Wiener sense, for every continuous function  $\varphi : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

## Definition

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set with  $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq S$ . A point  $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$  is called  $\mathcal{H}$ -regular if

$$\lim_{\Omega \ni z \rightarrow z_0} H_{\varphi}^{\Omega}(z) = \varphi(z_0) \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C(\partial\Omega).$$

Wiener criteria are tests to prove or disprove regularity by checking whether a suitable series (which classically involves capacity terms) is divergent or convergent.

## Classical Wiener criterion: Wiener (1924)

Consider  $\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n \supset \supset \Omega$ ,  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ . For  $\mu \in ]0, 1[$ , define

$$\Omega_k^\Delta(x_0) = (B(x_0, \mu^k) \setminus B(x_0, \mu^{k+1})) \setminus \Omega.$$

Then

$$x_0 \text{ is } \Delta\text{-regular} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{cap}(\Omega_k^\Delta(x_0))}{\mu^{k(n-2)}} = +\infty$$

Littman-Stampacchia-Weinberger (1963):

$$L = \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla), \quad A \text{ uniformly elliptic, in } \mathbb{R}^n \supset \supset \Omega, \quad x_0 \in \partial\Omega.$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 \text{ is } L\text{-regular} & \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{cap}(\Omega_k^\Delta(x_0))}{\mu^{k(n-2)}} = +\infty \\ & \Leftrightarrow x_0 \text{ is } \Delta\text{-regular} \end{aligned}$$

There is a long literature around Wiener criteria for elliptic/degenerate-elliptic operators.

Heat equation: Lanconelli (1973) for  $\Rightarrow$ , Evans-Gariepy (1982) for  $\Leftarrow$

Consider  $\Delta - \partial_t$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \supset \supset \Omega$ ,  $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \partial\Omega$ . For  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , define

$$\Omega_k(z_0) = \left\{ \zeta \notin \Omega : \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \leq G(z_0, \zeta) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{k+1}} \right\}.$$

Then,

$$z_0 \text{ is } (\Delta - \partial_t) \text{ - regular} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{cap}(\Omega_k(z_0))}{\lambda^k} = +\infty$$



For any given a compact set  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , one can define

$$\text{cap}(F) = \sup \left\{ \mu(F) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(F), \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. \Gamma * \mu(z) := \int \Gamma(z, \zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \leq 1 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \right\}$$

Petrowsky 1935:

$$\alpha \neq \beta, \quad 0 < \alpha, \beta$$

regularity for  $\alpha \Delta - \partial_t \quad \neq \quad$  regularity for  $\beta \Delta - \partial_t$

( $\Rightarrow$  holds whenever  $\alpha < \beta$ )

For any given a compact set  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , one can define

$$\text{cap}(F) = \sup \left\{ \mu(F) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(F), \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. \Gamma * \mu(z) := \int \Gamma(z, \zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \leq 1 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \right\}$$

Petrowsky 1935:

$$\alpha \neq \beta, \quad 0 < \alpha, \beta$$

regularity for  $\alpha \Delta - \partial_t \quad \neq \quad$  regularity for  $\beta \Delta - \partial_t$

( $\Rightarrow$  holds whenever  $\alpha < \beta$ )

## Variable coefficients case: Garofalo-Lanconelli (1988)

Consider

$$\operatorname{div}(A(x, t)\nabla) - \partial_t,$$

$A$  uniformly positive definite with smooth entries, in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \supset \supset \Omega$ ,  
 $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \partial\Omega$ . For  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , denote

$$\Omega_k^A(z_0) = \left\{ \zeta \notin \Omega : \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \leq \Gamma^A(z_0, \zeta) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{k+1}} \right\}.$$

Then,

$$z_0 \text{ is } (\operatorname{div}(A(x, t)\nabla) - \partial_t)\text{-regular} \iff \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{cap}(\Omega_k^A(z_0))}{\lambda^k} = +\infty.$$

Degenerate-parabolic: Garofalo-Segala (1990) for the heat operator  
 in the Heisenberg group (Rotz (2016) for H-type groups)

## Variable coefficients case: Garofalo-Lanconelli (1988)

Consider

$$\operatorname{div}(A(x, t)\nabla) - \partial_t,$$

$A$  uniformly positive definite with smooth entries, in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \supset \supset \Omega$ ,  
 $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \partial\Omega$ . For  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , denote

$$\Omega_k^A(z_0) = \left\{ \zeta \notin \Omega : \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \leq \Gamma^A(z_0, \zeta) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{k+1}} \right\}.$$

Then,

$$z_0 \text{ is } (\operatorname{div}(A(x, t)\nabla) - \partial_t)\text{-regular} \iff \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{cap}(\Omega_k^A(z_0))}{\lambda^k} = +\infty.$$

Degenerate-parabolic: Garofalo-Segala (1990) for the heat operator  
 in the Heisenberg group (Rotz (2016) for H-type groups)

The Wiener criterion can also be read as follows:

consider the balayage  $V_{\Omega_k(z_0)}$  of the compact sets  $\Omega_k(z_0)$  and their Riesz-measures  $\mu_{\Omega_k(z_0)}$  (for which  $\mathcal{H}V_{\Omega_k(z_0)} = -\mu_{\Omega_k(z_0)}$ ), one has the representation

$$V_{\Omega_k(z_0)} = \Gamma * \mu_{\Omega_k(z_0)}.$$

Since  $\mu_{\Omega_k(z_0)}(\Omega_k(z_0)) \sim \text{cap}(\Omega_k(z_0))$ , one can see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{cap}(\Omega_k(z_0))}{\lambda^k} \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma * \mu_{\Omega_k(z_0)}(z_0).$$

## Landis criterion for the Heat equation: Landis (1969)

Consider  $\Delta - \partial_t$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \supset \supset \Omega$ ,  $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \partial\Omega$ . There exists a sequence  $\{\alpha(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  (growing fast at  $\infty$ ) such that, if we define

$$\Omega_k^c(z_0) = \left\{ \zeta \notin \Omega : \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha(k)} \leq G(z_0, \zeta) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha(k+1)} \right\},$$

we have

$$z_0 \text{ is } (\Delta - \partial_t) \text{ - regular} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma * \mu_{\Omega_k^c(z_0)}(z_0) = +\infty.$$

The Wiener criterion proved by Landis provides in fact a true characterization for the regularity of boundary points. On the other hand, if one tries to read it with respect to capacity terms, one recognizes that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{cap}(\Omega_k^c(z_0))}{\lambda^{\alpha(k)}} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma * \mu_{\Omega_k^c(z_0)}(z_0) \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{cap}(\Omega_k^c(z_0))}{\lambda^{\alpha(k+1)}}.$$

This produces a mismatch between the necessary and the sufficient condition (unless  $\alpha(k)$  is linear).

We proved a Wiener-type characterization for the  $\mathcal{H}$ -regularity of boundary points in the spirit of the results by Landis. We also established an explicit behavior for the sequence  $\alpha(k)$ .

The Wiener criterion proved by Landis provides in fact a true characterization for the regularity of boundary points. On the other hand, if one tries to read it with respect to capacity terms, one recognizes that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{cap}(\Omega_k^c(z_0))}{\lambda^{\alpha(k)}} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma * \mu_{\Omega_k^c(z_0)}(z_0) \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{cap}(\Omega_k^c(z_0))}{\lambda^{\alpha(k+1)}}.$$

This produces a mismatch between the necessary and the sufficient condition (unless  $\alpha(k)$  is linear).

We proved a Wiener-type characterization for the  $\mathcal{H}$ -regularity of boundary points in the spirit of the results by Landis. We also established an explicit behavior for the sequence  $\alpha(k)$ .



Let us fix

$$\Omega_k^c(z_0) = \left\{ \zeta \notin \Omega : \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{k \log(k)} \leq \Gamma(z_0, \zeta) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{(k+1) \log(k+1)} \right\}.$$

We have the following

**Theorem [T. - Uguzzoni (2020)]**

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set with  $\bar{\Omega} \subseteq S$ , and let  $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$ . Then

$$z_0 \text{ is } \mathcal{H} - \text{regular} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma * \mu_{\Omega_k^c(z_0)}(z_0) = +\infty.$$

## Remarks on the proof

We followed a different strategy with respect to Landis. Landis' proof relied in fact on a control of the oscillation at the boundary by making use of explicit barrier function.

We adopted the same strategy as in [Kogoj-Lanconelli-T., (2018)], where we proved a Wiener-Landis test for Kolmogorov operator. In that situation, the kernel  $\Gamma$  is known explicit, and it appeared there the choice  $\alpha(k) = k \log(k)$ .

## Remarks on the proof

We followed a different strategy with respect to Landis. Landis' proof relied in fact on a control of the oscillation at the boundary by making use of explicit barrier function.

We adopted the same strategy as in [Kogoj-Lanconelli-T., (2018)], where we proved a Wiener-Landis test for Kolmogorov operator. In that situation, the kernel  $\Gamma$  is known explicit, and it appeared there the choice  $\alpha(k) = k \log(k)$ .

## Applications

Let us apply the sufficient criterion in concrete situation. Consider

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \Delta_{\mathbb{G}} - \partial_t,$$

where  $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{R}^N, \circ, \delta_r)$  is a Carnot group. Let  $Q$  be the homogeneous dimension, and  $d$  an homogeneous distance.

### Corollary

*Consider a bounded open set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ , and  $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$ . There exists a positive constant  $C^* = C^*(b_0, Q)$  such that, if we have*

$$\left\{ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : d^2(x, x_0) \geq C(t_0 - t) \log \log \left( \frac{1}{t_0 - t} \right), \right. \\ \left. \text{for } t \in (t_0 - \min\{r_0^2, e^{-1}\}, t_0) \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \Omega$$

*for some  $r_0 > 0$  and  $0 < C < C^*$ , then the point  $z_0$  is  $\mathcal{H}_0$ -regular for  $\partial\Omega$ .*

## Remarks

The stronger condition

$$\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : d^2(x, x_0) \geq C(t_0 - t)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \Omega$$

is a parabolic-cone condition: under this condition, the regularity of  $z_0 = (x_0, t_0)$  was known [Lanconelli-Uguzzoni (2010)], and also a  $C^\alpha$ -modulus of continuity for the solution ([Lanconelli-T.-Uguzzoni (2017)]).

The log log-paraboloid condition is sharp in the following sense: for the classical heat equation is known that the point is NOT regular for a boundary  $\partial\Omega$  with that log log-profile if  $C$  is big enough. This is precisely the nature of Petrowski's counterexamples.

We showed that

$$\sum_k \Gamma * \mu_{\Omega_k^c(z_0)}(z_0) \gtrsim \sum_k \frac{1}{\alpha(k)} = \sum_k \frac{1}{k \log k}.$$

## Remarks

The stronger condition

$$\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : d^2(x, x_0) \geq C(t_0 - t)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \setminus \Omega$$

is a parabolic-cone condition: under this condition, the regularity of  $z_0 = (x_0, t_0)$  was known [Lanconelli-Uguzzoni (2010)], and also a  $C^\alpha$ -modulus of continuity for the solution ([Lanconelli-T.-Uguzzoni (2017)]).

The log log-paraboloid condition is sharp in the following sense: for the classical heat equation is known that the point is NOT regular for a boundary  $\partial\Omega$  with that log log-profile if  $C$  is big enough. This is precisely the nature of Petrowski's counterexamples.

We showed that

$$\sum_k \Gamma * \mu_{\Omega_k^c(z_0)}(z_0) \gtrsim \sum_k \frac{1}{\alpha(k)} = \sum_k \frac{1}{k \log k}.$$

# Thanks for the attention