

# Space regularity for evolution operators of Hörmander type with coefficients measurable in time

Marco Bramanti

Politecnico di Milano

Pisa, 16-17 April 2019

# Introduction

- M. Bramanti: Space regularity for evolution operators modeled on Hörmander vector fields with time dependent measurable coefficients. (*preprint*) <https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.07327>

# Introduction

- M. Bramanti: Space regularity for evolution operators modeled on Hörmander vector fields with time dependent measurable coefficients. (*preprint*) <https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.07327>
- Consider a parabolic operator with constant coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ :

$$Hu = \partial_t u - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 u.$$

# Introduction

- M. Bramanti: Space regularity for evolution operators modeled on Hörmander vector fields with time dependent measurable coefficients. (*preprint*) <https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.07327>
- Consider a parabolic operator with constant coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ :

$$Hu = \partial_t u - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 u.$$

- Using the Fourier transform, we can solve the Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} Hu = 0 \text{ in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ u(0, x) = f(x) \end{cases}$$

# Introduction

- M. Bramanti: Space regularity for evolution operators modeled on Hörmander vector fields with time dependent measurable coefficients. (*preprint*) <https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.07327>
- Consider a parabolic operator with constant coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ :

$$Hu = \partial_t u - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 u.$$

- Using the Fourier transform, we can solve the Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} Hu = 0 \text{ in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ u(0, x) = f(x) \end{cases}$$

- The same procedure works if the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  are not constant but only depend on  $t$ : under the assumption

$$\nu |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \nu^{-1} |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in (0, T), \text{ some } \nu > 0$$

the heat kernel of  $H$  can be explicitly computed, with no regularity assumption on  $a_{ij} \in L^\infty(0, T)$ .

## Example

The solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - a(t) \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ u(0, x) = f(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{cases} \quad \text{with } 0 < \nu \leq a(t) \leq \nu^{-1}$$

is given by

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi A(t))^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4A(t)}} f(y) dy \quad \text{with } A(t) = \int_0^t a(\tau) d\tau.$$

## Example

The solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - a(t) \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ u(0, x) = f(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{cases} \quad \text{with } 0 < \nu \leq a(t) \leq \nu^{-1}$$

is given by

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi A(t))^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4A(t)}} f(y) dy \quad \text{with } A(t) = \int_0^t a(\tau) d\tau.$$

- Hence the solution is smooth w.r.t.  $x$  and just Lipschitz continuous w.r.t.  $t$ .

## Example

The solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - a(t) \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ u(0, x) = f(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{cases} \quad \text{with } 0 < \nu \leq a(t) \leq \nu^{-1}$$

is given by

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi A(t))^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4A(t)}} f(y) dy \quad \text{with } A(t) = \int_0^t a(\tau) d\tau.$$

- Hence the solution is smooth w.r.t.  $x$  and just Lipschitz continuous w.r.t.  $t$ .
- Space regularity still holds if  $Hu(t, x) = F(t, x)$  with  $F$  smooth in  $x$  and, e.g.,  $L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ .



## Example

The solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - a(t) \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ u(0, x) = f(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{cases} \quad \text{with } 0 < \nu \leq a(t) \leq \nu^{-1}$$

is given by

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi A(t))^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4A(t)}} f(y) dy \quad \text{with } A(t) = \int_0^t a(\tau) d\tau.$$

- Hence the solution is smooth w.r.t.  $x$  and just Lipschitz continuous w.r.t.  $t$ .
- Space regularity still holds if  $Hu(t, x) = F(t, x)$  with  $F$  smooth in  $x$  and, e.g.,  $L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- We want to study the analogous properties for degenerate parabolic operators structured on Hörmander vector fields on Carnot groups

$$Hu = \partial_t u - \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t) X_i X_j u.$$

# Preliminaries - Hörmander operators

## Example

Let us consider the degenerate elliptic operator in  $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$L = (\partial_x + 2y\partial_t)^2 + (\partial_y - 2x\partial_t)^2$$

which we rewrite as sum of squares of two vector fields:

$$L = X_1^2 + X_2^2$$

Observe that

$$[X_1, X_2] = X_1X_2 - X_2X_1 = -4\partial_t.$$

The “lacking” direction” in the operator  $L$  is recovered as **commutator** of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ .

# Preliminaries - Hörmander operators

## Example

Let us consider the degenerate elliptic operator in  $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$L = (\partial_x + 2y\partial_t)^2 + (\partial_y - 2x\partial_t)^2$$

which we rewrite as sum of squares of two vector fields:

$$L = X_1^2 + X_2^2$$

Observe that

$$[X_1, X_2] = X_1X_2 - X_2X_1 = -4\partial_t.$$

The “lacking” direction” in the operator  $L$  is recovered as **commutator** of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ .

- This fact is responsible for the good regularization properties of  $L$ .

# Hörmander's theorem (Acta Math. 1967)

- Let  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_q$  be real vector fields with  $C^\infty$  coefficients in a domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^q X_j^2 + X_0$$

and assume that at any point of  $\Omega$ , among the iterated commutators  $X_{j_1}, [X_{j_1}, X_{j_2}], [X_{j_1}, [X_{j_2}, X_{j_3}]], \dots$  there exist  $n$  which are linearly independent (“Hörmander's condition”).

# Hörmander's theorem (Acta Math. 1967)

- Let  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_q$  be real vector fields with  $C^\infty$  coefficients in a domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^q X_j^2 + X_0$$

and assume that at any point of  $\Omega$ , among the iterated commutators  $X_{j_1}, [X_{j_1}, X_{j_2}], [X_{j_1}, [X_{j_2}, X_{j_3}]], \dots$  there exist  $n$  which are linearly independent ("Hörmander's condition").

- Then  $L$  is *hypoelliptic* in  $\Omega$ , that is: for every distribution  $u$  such that  $Lu$  is  $C^\infty$  in an open  $A \subseteq \Omega$  then also  $u$  is  $C^\infty(A)$ .

# Carnot groups

- A **homogeneous group** (in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ) is a Lie group  $(\mathbb{R}^N, \circ)$  (where  $\circ$  is thought as "**translation**") endowed with a family  $\{D_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$  of group automorphisms ("**dilations**") given by:

$$D_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = (\lambda^{\alpha_1} x_1, \lambda^{\alpha_2} x_2, \dots, \lambda^{\alpha_N} x_N) \quad (1)$$

for integers  $1 = \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_N$ .

# Carnot groups

- A **homogeneous group** (in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ) is a Lie group  $(\mathbb{R}^N, \circ)$  (where  $\circ$  is thought as "**translation**") endowed with a family  $\{D_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$  of group automorphisms ("**dilations**") given by:

$$D_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = (\lambda^{\alpha_1} x_1, \lambda^{\alpha_2} x_2, \dots, \lambda^{\alpha_N} x_N) \quad (1)$$

for integers  $1 = \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_N$ .

- We will denote by  $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{R}^N, \circ, D_\lambda)$  this structure. The number

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \text{ is called } \mathbf{homogeneous\ dimension} \text{ of } \mathbb{G}.$$

## Carnot groups

- A **homogeneous group** (in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ) is a Lie group  $(\mathbb{R}^N, \circ)$  (where  $\circ$  is thought as "**translation**") endowed with a family  $\{D_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$  of group automorphisms ("**dilations**") given by:

$$D_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = (\lambda^{\alpha_1} x_1, \lambda^{\alpha_2} x_2, \dots, \lambda^{\alpha_N} x_N) \quad (1)$$

for integers  $1 = \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_N$ .

- We will denote by  $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{R}^N, \circ, D_\lambda)$  this structure. The number

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \text{ is called } \mathbf{homogeneous\ dimension} \text{ of } \mathbb{G}.$$

### Example

Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}^n$ : in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+n+1} \ni (x, y, t)$  set

$$(x, y, t) \circ (x', y', t') = (x + x', y + y', t + t' - 2(x \cdot y' - x' \cdot y))$$

$$D_\lambda(x, y, t) = (\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda^2 t)$$

$$N = 2n + 1; Q = 2n + 2$$



# Carnot groups

- A differential operator  $P$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is said **left invariant** if for every smooth function  $f$

$$P(L_y f)(x) = L_y(Pf(x)) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where

$$L_y f(x) = f(y \circ x).$$

# Carnot groups

- A differential operator  $P$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is said **left invariant** if for every smooth function  $f$

$$P(L_y f)(x) = L_y(Pf(x)) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where

$$L_y f(x) = f(y \circ x).$$

- Analogously one defines **right invariant** operators.

# Carnot groups

- A differential operator  $P$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is said **left invariant** if for every smooth function  $f$

$$P(L_y f)(x) = L_y(Pf(x)) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where

$$L_y f(x) = f(y \circ x).$$

- Analogously one defines **right invariant** operators.
- $P$  is said  **$\beta$ -homogeneous** (for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ) if for every function  $f$

$$P(f(D_\lambda(x))) = \lambda^\beta (Pf)(D_\lambda(x)) \quad \forall \lambda > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

# Carnot groups

- A differential operator  $P$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is said **left invariant** if for every smooth function  $f$

$$P(L_y f)(x) = L_y(Pf(x)) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where

$$L_y f(x) = f(y \circ x).$$

- Analogously one defines **right invariant** operators.
- $P$  is said  **$\beta$ -homogeneous** (for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ) if for every function  $f$

$$P(f(D_\lambda(x))) = \lambda^\beta (Pf)(D_\lambda(x)) \quad \forall \lambda > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

- Let us denote by  $X_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) the only left invariant vector field which agrees at 0 with  $\partial_{x_i}$ .

# Carnot groups

- A differential operator  $P$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is said **left invariant** if for every smooth function  $f$

$$P(L_y f)(x) = L_y(Pf(x)) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where

$$L_y f(x) = f(y \circ x).$$

- Analogously one defines **right invariant** operators.
- $P$  is said  **$\beta$ -homogeneous** (for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ) if for every function  $f$

$$P(f(D_\lambda(x))) = \lambda^\beta (Pf)(D_\lambda(x)) \quad \forall \lambda > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

- Let us denote by  $X_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) the only left invariant vector field which agrees at 0 with  $\partial_{x_i}$ .
- Analogously  $X_i^R$  is the only right invariant vector field which agrees at 0 with  $\partial_{x_i}$ , and then with  $X_i$ .

# Carnot groups

## Example (Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$ )

In  $\mathbb{R}^3 \ni (x, y, t)$  set

$$(x, y, t) \circ (x', y', t') = (x + x', y + y', t + t' - 2(xy' - x'y))$$

$$D_\lambda(x, y, t) = (\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda^2 t)$$

then

$$X_1 = \partial_x + 2y\partial_t \quad X_1^R = \partial_x - 2y\partial_t$$

$$X_2 = \partial_y - 2x\partial_t \quad X_2^R = \partial_y + 2x\partial_t$$

$$X_3 = \partial_t \quad X_3^R = \partial_t$$

## Carnot groups

- Assume that for some  $q < N$  the vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_q$  are 1-homogeneous and their iterated commutators up to step  $s$  satisfy Hörmander's condition.

# Carnot groups

- Assume that for some  $q < N$  the vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_q$  are 1-homogeneous and their iterated commutators up to step  $s$  satisfy Hörmander's condition.
- Then we say that  $\mathbb{G}$  is a **Carnot group** of step  $s$ ,  $X_1, \dots, X_q$  its **generators** and

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^q X_i^2$$

is the **canonical sublaplacian** on  $\mathbb{G}$ . It is *hypoelliptic, left invariant, 2-homogeneous*.



## Carnot groups

- Assume that for some  $q < N$  the vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_q$  are 1-homogeneous and their iterated commutators up to step  $s$  satisfy Hörmander's condition.
- Then we say that  $\mathbb{G}$  is a **Carnot group** of step  $s$ ,  $X_1, \dots, X_q$  its **generators** and

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^q X_i^2$$

is the **canonical sublaplacian** on  $\mathbb{G}$ . It is *hypoelliptic, left invariant, 2-homogeneous*.

### Example (The Konh Laplacian on the Heisenberg group)

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 + Y_i^2) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$$

with  $X_i = \partial_{x_i} + 2y_i \partial_t$ ;  $Y_i = \partial_{y_i} - 2x_i \partial_t$ ;  $[X_i, Y_i] = -4\partial_t$ ;

$$q = 2n < N = 2n + 1; s = 2.$$

# Carnot groups

- Analogously, the *heat-type operator*

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^q X_i^2 - \partial_t$$

is *hypoelliptic*, *left invariant*, *2-homogeneous* on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{G}$  endowed with the structure of homogeneous group:

$$\begin{aligned}(t, x) * (s, y) &= (t + s, x \circ y) \\ D_\lambda^*(t, x) &= (t^2, D_\lambda(x)).\end{aligned}$$

# Objective of the research

- Let  $X_1, \dots, X_q$  be the generators of a Carnot group  $\mathbb{G}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N > q$ ) and let

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t) X_i X_j - \partial_t$$

# Objective of the research

- Let  $X_1, \dots, X_q$  be the generators of a Carnot group  $\mathbb{G}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N > q$ ) and let

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t) X_i X_j - \partial_t$$

- where  $\{a_{ij}(t)\}_{i,j=1}^q$  is a real symmetric matrix of measurable coefficients such that for some  $\nu > 0$ :

$$\nu |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \nu^{-1} |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^q, \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, T).$$

# Objective of the research

- Let  $X_1, \dots, X_q$  be the generators of a Carnot group  $\mathbb{G}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N > q$ ) and let

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t) X_i X_j - \partial_t$$

- where  $\{a_{ij}(t)\}_{i,j=1}^q$  is a real symmetric matrix of measurable coefficients such that for some  $\nu > 0$ :

$$\nu |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \nu^{-1} |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^q, \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, T).$$

- We want to prove a regularity result for  $\mathcal{L}$  in the space variables, with quantitative regularity estimates on  $u$  in terms of  $\mathcal{L}u$ .

## Comparison with the existing literature

- Krylov (SIAM J. Math. Anal. 2014) has proved a Hörmander theorem (w.r.t. space variables) for operators

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_t - \sum_{k=1}^q L_k^2 + L_0$$

with

$$L_k = \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma^{ik}(t, x) \partial_{x_i}$$

where  $\sigma^{ik}(t, x)$  are assumed to have  $x$ -derivatives of every order uniformly bounded for  $(t, x) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ , and the vector fields  $L_0, L_1, \dots, L_q$  satisfy Hörmander's condition in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for every fixed  $t$ .

## Comparison with the existing literature

- Krylov (SIAM J. Math. Anal. 2014) has proved a Hörmander theorem (w.r.t. space variables) for operators

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_t - \sum_{k=1}^q L_k^2 + L_0$$

with

$$L_k = \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma^{ik}(t, x) \partial_{x_i}$$

where  $\sigma^{ik}(t, x)$  are assumed to have  $x$ -derivatives of every order uniformly bounded for  $(t, x) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ , and the vector fields  $L_0, L_1, \dots, L_q$  satisfy Hörmander's condition in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for every fixed  $t$ .

- Krylov exploits techniques of pseudodifferential operators, like in Kohn's proof of Hörmander's theorem.

## Comparison with the existing literature

- Krylov (SIAM J. Math. Anal. 2014) has proved a Hörmander theorem (w.r.t. space variables) for operators

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_t - \sum_{k=1}^q L_k^2 + L_0$$

with

$$L_k = \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma^{ik}(t, x) \partial_{x_i}$$

where  $\sigma^{ik}(t, x)$  are assumed to have  $x$ -derivatives of every order uniformly bounded for  $(t, x) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ , and the vector fields  $L_0, L_1, \dots, L_q$  satisfy Hörmander's condition in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for every fixed  $t$ .

- Krylov exploits techniques of pseudodifferential operators, like in Kohn's proof of Hörmander's theorem.
- The generators of a Carnot group do not have bounded  $x$ -derivatives.



## Comparison with the existing literature

- Krylov (SIAM J. Math. Anal. 2014) has proved a Hörmander theorem (w.r.t. space variables) for operators

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_t - \sum_{k=1}^q L_k^2 + L_0$$

with

$$L_k = \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma^{ik}(t, x) \partial_{x_i}$$

where  $\sigma^{ik}(t, x)$  are assumed to have  $x$ -derivatives of every order uniformly bounded for  $(t, x) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ , and the vector fields  $L_0, L_1, \dots, L_q$  satisfy Hörmander's condition in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for every fixed  $t$ .

- Krylov exploits techniques of pseudodifferential operators, like in Kohn's proof of Hörmander's theorem.
- The generators of a Carnot group do not have bounded  $x$ -derivatives.
- I am interested in adapting to this situation a technique of proof, well suited to Carnot groups, used in [Bramanti-Brandolini, Nonlin. Anal. 2015].

## Some motivation to study evolution equations with irregular time dependent coefficients

- Krylov has applied his regularity result to prove an analogous result for stochastic PDEs [Algebra i Analiz 2015], which in turn is applied to filtering theory of partially observable diffusion processes [Prob. Theory Related Fields 2015], in a context where there is no good control on the continuity modulus of coefficients w.r.t. time.

## Some motivation to study evolution equations with irregular time dependent coefficients

- Krylov has applied his regularity result to prove an analogous result for stochastic PDEs [Algebra i Analiz 2015], which in turn is applied to filtering theory of partially observable diffusion processes [Prob. Theory Related Fields 2015], in a context where there is no good control on the continuity modulus of coefficients w.r.t. time.
- Hyperbolic operators of the kind

$$Hu = u_{tt} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) u_{x_i x_j}$$

with merely bounded measurable  $a_{ij}$  have been studied by many authors, see for instance [Colombini, De Giorgi, Spagnolo, Ann. Pisa 1979], [Garetto, Ruzhansky, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 2015] where motivation from geophysics and tomography are given for this study.

## Some motivation to study evolution equations with irregular time dependent coefficients

- Again Krylov has used parabolic operators with coefficients  $a_{ij}(t) \in L^\infty$  as model operators to study nonvariational parabolic operators with coefficients  $a_{ij}(t, x)$  *VMO* w.r.t.  $x$  and  $L^\infty$  in  $t$ .

# Some motivation to study evolution equations with irregular time dependent coefficients

- Again Krylov has used parabolic operators with coefficients  $a_{ij}(t) \in L^\infty$  as model operators to study nonvariational parabolic operators with coefficients  $a_{ij}(t, x)$  *VMO* w.r.t.  $x$  and  $L^\infty$  in  $t$ .
- The operators studied in the present paper can also be seen as model operators to study the more general class

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t, x) X_i X_j - \partial_t,$$

under weaker conditions with respect to time.

# The starting point

## Lemma

Any two differential operators  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}$  left and right invariant, respectively, *commute*:

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{R}f = \mathcal{R}\mathcal{L}f.$$

# The starting point

## Lemma

Any two differential operators  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}$  left and right invariant, respectively, *commute*:

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{R}f = \mathcal{R}\mathcal{L}f.$$

## Lemma

Let  $u \in L^2((0, T), W_X^{2,2}(\mathbb{G})) \cap W^{1,2}((0, T), L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ ,  $u(0, \cdot) = 0$ , then

$$\|\nabla_X u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^q \|X_i u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c_V \left\{ \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{G}_T = (0, T) \times \mathbb{G}.$$

# The starting point

## Lemma

Any two differential operators  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}$  left and right invariant, respectively, *commute*:

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{R}f = \mathcal{R}\mathcal{L}f.$$

## Lemma

Let  $u \in L^2\left((0, T), W_X^{2,2}(\mathbb{G})\right) \cap W^{1,2}\left((0, T), L^2(\mathbb{G})\right)$ ,  $u(0, \cdot) = 0$ , then

$$\|\nabla_X u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^q \|X_i u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c_V \left\{ \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{G}_T = (0, T) \times \mathbb{G}.$$

- Assume for the moment we knew the (apparently similar) estimate

$$\|\nabla_{X^R} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^q \|X_i^R u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c_V \left\{ \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$



- We could then apply this estimate to  $X_{i_1}^R X_{i_2}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u$ , getting

$$\left\| X_i^R X_{i_1}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \left\{ \left\| \mathcal{L} X_{i_1}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \left\| X_{i_1}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

and since left and right invariant operators, and also  $\partial_t$  and  $X_{i_1}^R$ , commute

$$= c \left\{ \left\| X_{i_1}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R \mathcal{L} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \left\| X_{i_1}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

- We could then apply this estimate to  $X_{i_1}^R X_{i_2}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u$ , getting

$$\left\| X_{i_1}^R X_{i_2}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G}_T)} \leq c \left\{ \left\| \mathcal{L} X_{i_1}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G}_T)} + \left\| X_{i_1}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G}_T)} \right\}$$

and since left and write invariant operators, and also  $\partial_t$  and  $X_{i_1}^R$ , commute

$$= c \left\{ \left\| X_{i_1}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R \mathcal{L} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G}_T)} + \left\| X_{i_1}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G}_T)} \right\}$$

- so that

$$\|u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{k+1,2}(\mathbf{G}))} \leq c \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{k,2}(\mathbf{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{k,2}(\mathbf{G}))} \right)$$

$$\|u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{k+1,2}(\mathbf{G}))} \leq c \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{k,2}(\mathbf{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G}_T)} \right).$$

- We could then apply this estimate to  $X_{i_1}^R X_{i_2}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u$ , getting

$$\left\| X_{i_1}^R X_{i_2}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \left\{ \left\| \mathcal{L} X_{i_1}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \left\| X_{i_1}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

and since left and write invariant operators, and also  $\partial_t$  and  $X_{i_1}^R$ , commute

$$= c \left\{ \left\| X_{i_1}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R \mathcal{L} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \left\| X_{i_1}^R \dots X_{i_k}^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

- so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{k+1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} &\leq c \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{k,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{k,2}(\mathbb{G}))} \right) \\ \|u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{k+1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} &\leq c \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{k,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- This is the key idea of this technique: measuring the degree of regularity of  $u$  in terms of  $\mathcal{L}u$  (with  $\mathcal{L}$  left invariant) using right invariant derivatives apparently trivializes the problem; actually it doesn't, but greatly simplifies the proof of higher order estimates.

# Regularity estimates

- The problem is that actually **do not have** the estimate

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \left\| X_i^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c_V \left\{ \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

# Regularity estimates

- The problem is that actually **do not have** the estimate

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \left\| X_i^R u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c_V \left\{ \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

- We will see that nevertheless it is possible to control the regularity using right invariant vector fields, but this requires asking a much higher regularity to  $\mathcal{L}u$ . Namely, we can prove the following:

# Main result

## Theorem

Let  $u \in W^{1,2}((0, T), L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{G}))$ ,  $u(0, \cdot) = 0$ , be a weak solution to  $\mathcal{L}u = F \in L^2((0, T), L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{G}))$ . Then

①  $\forall k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $\zeta, \zeta_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $\zeta \prec \zeta_1$ ,

$$\zeta_1 F \in L^2((0, T), W_{XR}^{k+s^2-1,2}(\mathbb{G})) \implies \zeta u \in L^2((0, T), W_{XR}^{k,2}(\mathbb{G}))$$

and

$$\|\zeta u\|_{L^2((0, T), W_{XR}^{k,2}(\mathbb{G}))} \leq c \left\{ \|\zeta_1 F\|_{L^2((0, T), W_{XR}^{k+s^2-1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

# Main result

## Theorem

Let  $u \in W^{1,2}((0, T), L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{G}))$ ,  $u(0, \cdot) = 0$ , be a weak solution to  $\mathcal{L}u = F \in L^2((0, T), L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{G}))$ . Then

①  $\forall k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $\zeta, \zeta_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $\zeta \prec \zeta_1$ ,

$$\zeta_1 F \in L^2((0, T), W_{XR}^{k+s^2-1,2}(\mathbb{G})) \implies \zeta u \in L^2((0, T), W_{XR}^{k,2}(\mathbb{G}))$$

and

$$\|\zeta u\|_{L^2((0, T), W_{XR}^{k,2}(\mathbb{G}))} \leq c \left\{ \|\zeta_1 F\|_{L^2((0, T), W_{XR}^{k+s^2-1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

② In particular if  $k \geq 2s$  then  $u$  is also a strong solution to the equation;

## Theorem

- 3  $\forall \zeta, \zeta_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $\zeta \prec \zeta_1$  and multiindex  $\alpha$  there exists  $c > 0$  and an integer  $h > 0$  such that if  $F \in L^2\left((0, T), W_{X^R, loc}^{h,2}(\mathbb{G})\right)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < t_1 < t_2 < T} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{G}} \frac{|\zeta(x) [\partial_x^\alpha u(t_2, x) - \partial_x^\alpha u(t_1, x)]|}{|t_2 - t_1|^{1/2}} \\ & \leq c \left\{ \|\zeta_1 F\|_{L^2((0, T), W_{X^R}^{h,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and for every  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{G}} |\zeta(x) \partial_x^\alpha u(t, x)| \leq c |t|^{1/2} \left\{ \|\zeta_1 F\|_{L^2((0, T), W_{X^R}^{h,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}.$$



## Theorem

3  $\forall \zeta, \zeta_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $\zeta \prec \zeta_1$  and multiindex  $\alpha$  there exists  $c > 0$  and an integer  $h > 0$  such that if  $F \in L^2\left((0, T), W_{X^R, loc}^{h, 2}(\mathbb{G})\right)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < t_1 < t_2 < T} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{G}} \frac{|\zeta(x) [\partial_x^\alpha u(t_2, x) - \partial_x^\alpha u(t_1, x)]|}{|t_2 - t_1|^{1/2}} \\ & \leq c \left\{ \|\zeta_1 F\|_{L^2((0, T), W_{X^R}^{h, 2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and for every  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{G}} |\zeta(x) \partial_x^\alpha u(t, x)| \leq c |t|^{1/2} \left\{ \|\zeta_1 F\|_{L^2((0, T), W_{X^R}^{h, 2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}.$$

4.

If  $\zeta_1 F \in L^2((0, T), C^\infty(\mathbb{G}))$ , then

$\zeta u \in C^0([0, T], C^\infty(\mathbb{G}))$  and  $\zeta u_t \in L^2((0, T), C^\infty(\mathbb{G}))$ .

# The regularity result is optimal

## Example

Let us consider the uniformly parabolic operator

$$\mathcal{L}u = -u_t + a(t) u_{xx}$$

with  $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $0 < \nu \leq a(t) \leq \nu^{-1}$ . The function

$$u(t, x) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t a(\tau) d\tau\right) \sin x$$

satisfies  $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ ;  $u$  is smooth in  $x$  and only Lipschitz continuous in  $t$ .

## Example

Let now

$$U(t, x) = t^\alpha u(t, x) \text{ for some } \alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right).$$

Then  $U$  solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}U = F \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0 \\ U(0, x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

with  $F(t, x) = -\alpha t^{\alpha-1} u(t, x)$ .

We have

$$F \in L^2((0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}) \quad \forall \alpha > \frac{1}{2} \text{ and}$$

$$U \in W^{1,2}((0, T), C^\infty(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^{0,\alpha}([0, T], C^\infty(\mathbb{R})).$$

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- We have adapted to the parabolic case the technique used in [B.-Brandolini, Nonlin. Anal. 2015].

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- We have adapted to the parabolic case the technique used in [B.-Brandolini, Nonlin. Anal. 2015].
- We handle Sobolev norms by means of equivalent norms based on finite difference operators.

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- We have adapted to the parabolic case the technique used in [B.-Brandolini, Nonlin. Anal. 2015].
- We handle Sobolev norms by means of equivalent norms based on finite difference operators.
- For a fixed increment  $h \in \mathbb{G}$  let

$$\Delta_h f(t, x) = f(t, x \circ h) - f(t, x)$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_h f(t, x) = f(t, h \circ x) - f(t, x).$$

and note that  $\Delta_h$  is left invariant while  $\tilde{\Delta}_h$  is right invariant.

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- We have adapted to the parabolic case the technique used in [B.-Brandolini, Nonlin. Anal. 2015].
- We handle Sobolev norms by means of equivalent norms based on finite difference operators.
- For a fixed increment  $h \in \mathbb{G}$  let

$$\Delta_h f(t, x) = f(t, x \circ h) - f(t, x)$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_h f(t, x) = f(t, h \circ x) - f(t, x).$$

and note that  $\Delta_h$  is left invariant while  $\tilde{\Delta}_h$  is right invariant.

- If  $h = \text{Exp}(tX_i)$  then one can easily prove

$$\|\Delta_h f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq |t| \|X_i f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq \|h\| \|X_i f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)}$$

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- We have adapted to the parabolic case the technique used in [B.-Brandolini, Nonlin. Anal. 2015].
- We handle Sobolev norms by means of equivalent norms based on finite difference operators.
- For a fixed increment  $h \in \mathbb{G}$  let

$$\Delta_h f(t, x) = f(t, x \circ h) - f(t, x)$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_h f(t, x) = f(t, h \circ x) - f(t, x).$$

and note that  $\Delta_h$  is left invariant while  $\tilde{\Delta}_h$  is right invariant.

- If  $h = \text{Exp}(tX_i)$  then one can easily prove

$$\|\Delta_h f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq |t| \|X_i f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq \|h\| \|X_i f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)}$$

- where  $\|h\|$  is a homogeneous norm on  $\mathbb{G}$ , and

$$\|X_i f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq \sup_{\substack{h=\text{Exp}(tX_i) \\ 0 < |t| < 1}} \frac{\|\Delta_h f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)}}{\|h\|}; \text{ (analogously for } X_i^R / \tilde{\Delta}_h)$$



## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

We already know that:

- if  $u \in L^2 \left( (0, T), W_X^{2,2}(\mathbb{G}) \right) \cap W^{1,2} \left( (0, T), L^2(\mathbb{G}) \right)$ ,  $u(0, \cdot) = 0$ ,  
then

$$\|\nabla_X u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c_V \left\{ \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

We already know that:

- if  $u \in L^2((0, T), W_X^{2,2}(\mathbb{G})) \cap W^{1,2}((0, T), L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ ,  $u(0, \cdot) = 0$ , then

$$\|\nabla_X u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c_V \left\{ \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

- Exploiting Hörmander's condition we can prove that  $\forall h \in \mathbb{G}$

$$\|\Delta_h u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq \|h\| \|\nabla_X u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\| (\|\mathcal{L}u\|_2 + \|u\|_2)$$

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

We already know that:

- if  $u \in L^2 \left( (0, T), W_X^{2,2}(\mathbb{G}) \right) \cap W^{1,2} \left( (0, T), L^2(\mathbb{G}) \right)$ ,  $u(0, \cdot) = 0$ , then

$$\|\nabla_X u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c_V \left\{ \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

- Exploiting Hörmander's condition we can prove that  $\forall h \in \mathbb{G}$

$$\|\Delta_h u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq \|h\| \|\nabla_X u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\| (\|\mathcal{L}u\|_2 + \|u\|_2)$$

- A technical lemma then allows to get from this the following

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\|^{1/s} \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right)$$

for  $u$  compactly supported,  $c$  depending on  $\text{sprt } u$ .

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

We already know that:

- if  $u \in L^2 \left( (0, T), W_X^{2,2}(\mathbb{G}) \right) \cap W^{1,2} \left( (0, T), L^2(\mathbb{G}) \right)$ ,  $u(0, \cdot) = 0$ , then

$$\|\nabla_X u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c_V \left\{ \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

- Exploiting Hörmander's condition we can prove that  $\forall h \in \mathbb{G}$

$$\|\Delta_h u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq \|h\| \|\nabla_X u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\| (\|\mathcal{L}u\|_2 + \|u\|_2)$$

- A technical lemma then allows to get from this the following

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\|^{1/s} \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right)$$

for  $u$  compactly supported,  $c$  depending on  $\text{sprt } u$ .

- To get a control on  $\|X_i^R u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)}$  we need  $\|h\|$  instead of  $\|h\|^{1/s}$ .

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

We already know that:

- if  $u \in L^2((0, T), W_X^{2,2}(\mathbb{G})) \cap W^{1,2}((0, T), L^2(\mathbb{G}))$ ,  $u(0, \cdot) = 0$ , then

$$\|\nabla_X u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c_V \left\{ \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

- Exploiting Hörmander's condition we can prove that  $\forall h \in \mathbb{G}$

$$\|\Delta_h u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq \|h\| \|\nabla_X u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\| (\|\mathcal{L}u\|_2 + \|u\|_2)$$

- A technical lemma then allows to get from this the following

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\|^{1/s} \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right)$$

for  $u$  compactly supported,  $c$  depending on  $\text{sprt } u$ .

- To get a control on  $\|X_i^R u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)}$  we need  $\|h\|$  instead of  $\|h\|^{1/s}$ .
- Then, for fixed  $h = \text{Exp}(tX_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q$ , consider the iterated finite difference  $\tilde{\Delta}_h^m u = \tilde{\Delta}_h \tilde{\Delta}_h^{m-1} u$ .

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- From the previous inequality we get

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^m u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\|^{1/s} \left( \left\| \mathcal{L} \tilde{\Delta}_h^{m-1} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^{m-1} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right)$$

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- From the previous inequality we get

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^m u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\|^{1/s} \left( \left\| \mathcal{L} \tilde{\Delta}_h^{m-1} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^{m-1} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right)$$

- Since  $\tilde{\Delta}_h$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  commute, by iteration we get

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^m u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\|^{m/s} \left( \left\| \mathcal{L} u \right\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{m-1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right).$$

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- From the previous inequality we get

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^m u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\|^{1/s} \left( \left\| \mathcal{L} \tilde{\Delta}_h^{m-1} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^{m-1} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right)$$

- Since  $\tilde{\Delta}_h$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  commute, by iteration we get

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^m u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\|^{m/s} \left( \left\| \mathcal{L} u \right\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{m-1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right).$$

- In particular ( $m = s + 1$ )

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^{s+1} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\|^{1+1/s} \left( \left\| \mathcal{L} u \right\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{s,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right).$$



## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- From the previous inequality we get

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^m u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\|^{1/s} \left( \left\| \mathcal{L} \tilde{\Delta}_h^{m-1} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} + \left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^{m-1} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right)$$

- Since  $\tilde{\Delta}_h$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  commute, by iteration we get

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^m u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\|^{m/s} \left( \left\| \mathcal{L} u \right\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{XR}^{m-1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right).$$

- In particular ( $m = s + 1$ )

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^{s+1} u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\|^{1+1/s} \left( \left\| \mathcal{L} u \right\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{XR}^{s,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right).$$

- **Lemma.** If  $1 < \alpha < 2$  and

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h^m u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq A \|h\|^\alpha, \text{ then}$$

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \left( A + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right) \|h\|.$$

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- Hence we get

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\| \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{s,2}(\mathbf{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G}_T)} \right)$$

and therefore

$$\|u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{1,2}(\mathbf{G}))} \leq c \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{s,2}(\mathbf{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G}_T)} \right).$$

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- Hence we get

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\| \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{s,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right)$$

and therefore

$$\|u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} \leq c \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{s,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right).$$

- More precisely, the estimate that we get is

$$\|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} \leq c \left\{ \|\zeta_2 \mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{s,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_2 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- Hence we get

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\| \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{s,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right)$$

and therefore

$$\|u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} \leq c \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{s,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right).$$

- More precisely, the estimate that we get is

$$\|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} \leq c \left\{ \|\zeta_2 \mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{s,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_2 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

- and this is the first step to prove, iteratively, the desired estimate.

## Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- Hence we get

$$\left\| \tilde{\Delta}_h u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \leq c \|h\| \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{s,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right)$$

and therefore

$$\|u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} \leq c \left( \|\mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{s,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right).$$

- More precisely, the estimate that we get is

$$\|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} \leq c \left\{ \|\zeta_2 \mathcal{L}u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{X^R}^{s,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_2 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

- and this is the first step to prove, iteratively, the desired estimate.
- Iteration is now easy because the vector fields  $X^R$  commute with  $\mathcal{L}$ .

# Space regularity - Idea of the proof

- This gives the first part of the result:

## Theorem

Let  $u \in W^{1,2}((0, T), L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{G}))$ ,  $u(0, \cdot) = 0$ , be a weak solution to  $\mathcal{L}u = F \in L^2((0, T), L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{G}))$ . Then  $\forall k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $\zeta, \zeta_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ ,  $\zeta \prec \zeta_1$ ,

$\zeta_1 F \in L^2((0, T), W_{XR}^{k+s^2-1,2}(\mathbb{G})) \implies \zeta u \in L^2((0, T), W_{XR}^{k,2}(\mathbb{G}))$  and

$$\|\zeta u\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{XR}^{k,2}(\mathbb{G}))} \leq c \left\{ \|\zeta_1 F\|_{L^2((0,T), W_{XR}^{k+s-1,2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}.$$

## Hölder continuity in time - Idea of the proof

- Once we know the space regularity of  $u$ , to get Hölder estimates with respect to  $t$  it is enough to integrate in  $t$  the equation:

$$\begin{aligned}u(t_2, x) - u(t_1, x) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \partial_t u(t, x) dt \\ \mathcal{X}_j^R u(t_2, x) - \mathcal{X}_j^R u(t_1, x) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \partial_t \mathcal{X}_j^R u(t, x) dt.\end{aligned}$$

## Hölder continuity in time - Idea of the proof

- Once we know the space regularity of  $u$ , to get Hölder estimates with respect to  $t$  it is enough to integrate in  $t$  the equation:

$$u(t_2, x) - u(t_1, x) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \partial_t u(t, x) dt$$

$$X_j^R u(t_2, x) - X_j^R u(t_1, x) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \partial_t X_j^R u(t, x) dt.$$

- 

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G \zeta(x)^2 \left| X_j^R u(t_2, x) - X_j^R u(t_1, x) \right|^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_G \zeta(x)^2 \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ -X_j^R \mathcal{L}u(t, x) + \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t) X_i X_j X_j^R u(t, x) \right\} dt \right|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$



## Hölder continuity in time - Idea of the proof

- Once we know the space regularity of  $u$ , to get Hölder estimates with respect to  $t$  it is enough to integrate in  $t$  the equation:

$$u(t_2, x) - u(t_1, x) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \partial_t u(t, x) dt$$

$$X_j^R u(t_2, x) - X_j^R u(t_1, x) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \partial_t X_j^R u(t, x) dt.$$

- 

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G \zeta(x)^2 \left| X_j^R u(t_2, x) - X_j^R u(t_1, x) \right|^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_G \zeta(x)^2 \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ -X_j^R \mathcal{L}u(t, x) + \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t) X_i X_j X_j^R u(t, x) \right\} dt \right|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

- 

$$\leq |t_2 - t_1| \left\{ \left\| \zeta X_j^R F \right\|_{L^2(G_T)}^2 + c_\nu \sum_{i,j=1}^q \left\| \zeta X_i X_j X_j^R u \right\|_{L^2(G_T)}^2 \right\}.$$

- By the space regularity estimates, this implies that

$$\sup_{0 < t_1 < t_2 < T} \frac{\int_{\mathbf{G}} \zeta(x)^2 |X_I^R u(t_2, x) - X_I^R u(t_1, x)|^2 dx}{|t_2 - t_1|} \leq c \left\{ \|\zeta_1 F\|_{L^2((0, T), W_{X^R}^{h, 2}(\mathbf{G}))} + \|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G}_T)} \right\}^2$$

for some  $h$  large enough and any cutoff function  $\zeta_1$  such that  $\zeta \prec \zeta_1$ .

- By the space regularity estimates, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < t_1 < t_2 < T} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{G}} \zeta(x)^2 |X_I^R u(t_2, x) - X_I^R u(t_1, x)|^2 dx}{|t_2 - t_1|} \\ & \leq c \left\{ \|\zeta_1 F\|_{L^2((0, T), W_{X^R}^{h, 2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for some  $h$  large enough and any cutoff function  $\zeta_1$  such that  $\zeta \prec \zeta_1$ .

- Since every derivative  $\partial_x^\alpha v(x)$  can be bounded, uniformly on compact sets, by a suitable linear combination of  $X_I^R$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < t_1 < t_2 < T} \frac{\|\zeta [\partial_x^\alpha u(t_2, \cdot) - \partial_x^\alpha u(t_1, \cdot)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}}{|t_2 - t_1|^{1/2}} \\ & \leq c \left\{ \|\zeta_1 F\|_{L^2((0, T), W_{X^R}^{h_1, 2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for some integer  $h_1 > h$ .

- By the space regularity estimates, this implies that

$$\sup_{0 < t_1 < t_2 < T} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{G}} \zeta(x)^2 |X_I^R u(t_2, x) - X_I^R u(t_1, x)|^2 dx}{|t_2 - t_1|} \\ \leq c \left\{ \|\zeta_1 F\|_{L^2((0, T), W_{X^R}^{h, 2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}^2$$

for some  $h$  large enough and any cutoff function  $\zeta_1$  such that  $\zeta \prec \zeta_1$ .

- Since every derivative  $\partial_x^\alpha v(x)$  can be bounded, uniformly on compact sets, by a suitable linear combination of  $X_I^R$ , we get

$$\sup_{0 < t_1 < t_2 < T} \frac{\|\zeta [\partial_x^\alpha u(t_2, \cdot) - \partial_x^\alpha u(t_1, \cdot)]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}}{|t_2 - t_1|^{1/2}} \\ \leq c \left\{ \|\zeta_1 F\|_{L^2((0, T), W_{X^R}^{h_1, 2}(\mathbb{G}))} + \|\zeta_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}_T)} \right\}$$

for some integer  $h_1 > h$ .

- By Sobolev embeddings, this also gives a control on

$$\sup_{0 < t_1 < t_2 < T} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{G}} \frac{|\zeta(x) [\partial_x^\alpha u(t_2, x) - \partial_x^\alpha u(t_1, x)]|}{|t_2 - t_1|^{1/2}}$$

## Extension to distributional solutions

- Our smoothness result, proved for functions in  $W^{1,2}((0, T), L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{G}))$ , can be extended to more general distributions.

## Extension to distributional solutions

- Our smoothness result, proved for functions in  $W^{1,2}((0, T), L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{G}))$ , can be extended to more general distributions.

### Definition

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{G}$  be an open set. We say that  $u \in L^2((0, T), \mathcal{D}'(\Omega))$  if  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_T)$  and  $\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \exists h_\phi \in L^2(0, T)$  s.t.

$$\langle u, \phi \otimes \psi \rangle = \int_0^T h_\phi(t) \psi(t) dt \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T).$$

We will write  $h_\phi(t) = \langle u(t, \cdot), \phi \rangle$  and

$$\langle u, \phi(x) \psi(t) \rangle = \int_0^T \langle u(t, \cdot), \phi \rangle \psi(t) dt \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \psi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T),$$

Analogously, we say that  $u \in W^{1,2}((0, T), \mathcal{D}'(\Omega))$  if  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_T)$  with  $u, \partial_t u \in L^2((0, T), \mathcal{D}'(\Omega))$ .

# Extension to distributional solutions

## Definition

We say that  $u$  is a distributional solution to  $\mathcal{L}u = F$  in  $\Omega_T = (0, T) \times \Omega$ , with  $F \in L^2((0, T), \mathcal{D}'(\Omega))$  if  $u \in W^{1,2}((0, T), \mathcal{D}'(\Omega))$  and:

$$\langle -\partial_t u(t, \cdot), \phi \rangle + \sum_{i,j=1}^q a_{ij}(t) \langle X_i X_j u(t, \cdot), \phi \rangle = \langle F(t, \cdot), \phi \rangle$$

$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  and a.e.  $t \in (0, T)$ .

## Extension to distributional solutions

### Definition

We say that  $u \in L^2((0, T), \mathcal{D}'(\Omega))$  satisfies the  $x$ -finite order assumption on  $\Omega$  if  $\exists h \in L^2((0, T), L^1_{loc}(\Omega))$  and a multiindex  $\alpha$  s.t.

$$u = \frac{\partial^\alpha h}{\partial x^\alpha} \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_T).$$

If  $u \in W^{1,2}((0, T), \mathcal{D}'(\Omega))$ , we say that  $u$  satisfies the  $x$ -finite order assumption on  $\Omega$  if the above condition holds with  $h \in W^{1,2}((0, T), L^1_{loc}(\Omega))$ .



## Extension to distributional solutions

### Theorem

For some bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{G}$ , let  $u$  be a distributional solution to  $\mathcal{L}u = F$  in  $\Omega_T$  with  $F \in L^2((0, T), \mathcal{D}'(\Omega))$ . Assume that  $u$  satisfies the  $x$ -finite order assumption and  $u(0, \cdot) = 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Then, for every domain  $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ , if

$$F \in L^2((0, T), C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}))$$

then

$$u \in C^0([0, T], C^\infty(\overline{\Omega'})) \text{ and } u_t \in L^2((0, T), C^\infty(\overline{\Omega'})).$$